

Court of Appeals, Division One
Criminal Petition Review Post Conviction Relief

1 CA-CR 23-0397 PRPC

STATE v. VILLAREAL

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 14-Sep-2023
Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Respondent

Christine A Davis, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 21046)

Side 2. SANTOS VILLAREAL, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) SANTOS VILLAREAL

- Santos Villareal

PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Oct 27, 2023.....At Issue

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
MAR CR2011-123571-004			Kevin B Wein, Judge on PC		

CALENDAR

Friday MOTION CALENDAR

1-Mar-2024 Department M;

File date: 2/29/2024.....Motion for Update and EXTENTION

DECISION RENDERED: See proceeding entry for ORDER dated 4-Mar-2024 for more information...

File date: 2/29/2024.....MOTION FOR UPDATE and Extention

DECISION RENDERED: See proceeding entry for ORDER dated 4-Mar-2024 for more information...

7 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1.	14-Sep-2023	FILED: Petition for Review, 32.9 (PCR) (Petitioner Pro Per)
2.	15-Sep-2023	ORDERED: Clerk of Maricopa County Superior Court to transmit record on appeal, including but not limited to reporter's transcripts and exhibits, to clerk, Court of Appeals, on/before 10/30/2023. Amy M Wood - Author
3.	9-Oct-2023	FILED: Notice of Acknowledgment; Certificate of Service for Notice of Acknowledgement (Respondent)
4.	27-Oct-2023	FILED: e-Record on Appeal: Instruments/Minute Entries: Combined Disposition Report: 155 Exhibits: None sent with e-Record (Includes transcripts dated 07/23/2012)
5.	9-Nov-2023	FILED: Reply to Petition for Review (PCR) (Petitioner Pro Per)
6.	29-Feb-2024	FILED: Motion for Update and Extention [sic] of time (Motion for Reconsideration) (Petitioner Pro Per)
7.	4-Mar-2024	ORDERED: Advising petitioner the court has not issued its decision in this matter. The court will consider the petition for review in due course. Because the court has not yet issued its decision in this matter and no motion for reconsideration is due. FURTHER ORDERED: Denying the motion to extend the time to file a motion for reconsideration as unnecessary. Benjamin A Armstrong ProTem Judge - Author